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Executive summary

The UK needs to rapidly reduce greenhouse gas emissions from surface transport, where very little progress has been made over the last 30 years.

This research explores how different places could achieve an 80% reduction in surface transport emissions by 2030, on a pathway to net zero by 2050. It combines advanced carbon modelling with stakeholder engagement and desk-based research in order to identify and test the impact of approximately 40 carbon reduction interventions in four ‘place typologies’. These are typical of real places in England, and include a unicentric city, a polycentric conurbation, a regeneration town and a growing county.

For each typology, our research demonstrates the need for a comprehensive package of interventions to reduce transport emissions. Our modelling takes a place-based approach which prioritises measures which reduce the overall need to travel, followed by those which shift trips to active, public and shared transport, and finally those which switch vehicles to cleaner fuels. By following this hierarchy, decarbonisation acts as a catalyst for reducing car dependency and creating healthier, safer and more equitable communities. By contrast, the switch to cleaner fuels only accounts for just over half of the necessary emission reductions, reinforcing the need for a ‘do everything’ approach.

In our modelling, a ‘do nothing’ scenario sees transport emissions increase. To avoid this, all new development should be located and designed to generate zero emissions from surface transport, and should enable carbon reduction in other places to achieve ‘carbon negative growth’.

The planning system should also prioritise urban renewal that enables growth while achieving a substantial reduction in travel demand. This should focus on maximising the potential for local living by ensuring that most people can access a wide range of services, facilities and public spaces by walking and cycling. Increased home working, digital service delivery, and new forms of flexible work and community spaces will play a key role, alongside investment in place.

Residual travel demand should be shifted away from private vehicles to active, public and shared forms of transport, at a scale which significantly exceeds current UK best practice. Integrated transport networks should be accompanied by access and parking restrictions for private vehicles, creating liveable streets and ensuring that sustainable modes are always the most convenient and affordable choice.

Achieving this requires a decisive break with the conventional approach of meeting predicted changes in travel demand with new road capacity. The planning system must also look beyond the promotion, allocation and servicing of land for new development. The scale of this challenge requires a truly integrated approach that unites transport and land use planning to deliver place-based visions which meet ambitious targets for trip reduction, modal shift and carbon reduction, alongside other economic, social and environmental objectives.

To help envisage this transformation, our research created a spatial vision for each of the place typologies in 2030 which maps out key carbon reduction interventions. This shows what an ambitious emission reduction pathway might look like for different types of place, and the wider benefits that can be unlocked.

Achieving these place-based visions requires a policy framework that puts the reduction of carbon at the heart of decision making, and enables the planning, funding and delivery of the necessary interventions to create genuinely sustainable communities. This report is accompanied by a discussion paper which sets out potential barriers to achieving these objectives, and suggests recommendations for change.
1. Introduction

1.1. Spatial planning and net zero surface transport

Transport is the largest contributor to the UK’s greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. While emissions from other sectors have fallen dramatically since 1990, those from transport have reduced by under 3\%\(^1\). In an average local authority, transport is responsible for 35.5\% of all emissions, with the sector remaining 98\% reliant on fossil fuels\(^2\).

The government has recognised that transport plays a critical role in achieving net zero, saying that “...the scale of the challenge demands a step change in both the breadth and scale of ambition and we have a duty to act quickly and decisively to reduce emissions”\(^3\).

Despite this ambition, and the rhetoric around “building back better” from the Covid-19 pandemic, the UK is set to spend £30bn on new roads during the current Parliament, with only £2bn for active travel\(^4\). There are significant gaps in national policy, with the latest report to Parliament from the Committee on Climate Change (CCC) noting that 2020 was “…not the year of policy progress that the Committee called for in 2019”\(^5\).

Despite many local authorities setting ambitious targets to achieve net zero by 2030, well in advance of the UK’s statutory 2050 target, monitoring of local emissions is patchy and the delivery of solutions on the ground remains slow\(^6\). Recent criticism over the car-dependency of the new generation of Garden Towns also highlights a major disconnect between net zero ambitions and the reality of much planning and placemaking\(^7\).

Put simply, the planning system often appears to deliver the wrong type of development in the wrong place, and does not fully consider the impact of development on carbon emissions. At the same time, spatial planning is tasked with responding to other priorities, such as housing delivery, nature recovery, flood risk, air pollution, and mental and physical health. They also include the challenges faced by towns and cities that, even before the Covid-19 pandemic, were struggling to adapt to structural shifts in the economy, consumer habits and lifestyle choices\(^8\).

This research starts from the premise that there is a clear relationship between spatial planning and carbon, and that only a place-based approach can deliver net zero transport emissions and be a catalyst for better placemaking to deliver healthier, happier, more resilient communities.

1.2. Understanding net zero: the Paris Agreement and UK Climate Change Act

The Paris Agreement signed at the United Nations Climate Conference in 2015 (COP21) was the first comprehensive legally binding global climate change agreement. It set out a framework to avoid the dangerous impacts of climate change by limiting global temperature rise to “well below 2 degrees Celsius” above pre-industrial levels, and committed signatories to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increases to 1.5 degrees Celsius\(^9\).

In June 2019, the UK parliament passed legislation which replaced a previous target of an 80\% reduction in GHG emissions by 2050 with a more ambitious target to reduce the UK’s net emissions to zero by 2050 – the so-called “Net Zero” target\(^10\). The UK was the first country in the world to set this target in law. All the major political parties pledged to abide by this target in their manifestos for the December 2019 General Election, which points to a clear consensus on the need for action.

Zero emissions would mean no production of any emissions from any sector. However, there are some sectors, such as farming and aviation, where this is not anticipated to be possible\(^11\). A net zero target recognises that some emissions will be difficult to fully remove, but that these can be fully offset so that the UK’s balance of emissions is zero. In May 2019, the CCC concluded that “net-zero is necessary, feasible and cost-effective”\(^12\).
1.3. Approaches to carbon budgeting and emission reduction targets

A carbon budget sets a cap on total greenhouse gas emissions, which should not be exceeded in order to meet emission reduction commitments. In the UK, statutory carbon budgets are set for five year periods by Parliament on the advice of the CCC, and look twelve years into the future.

Carbon budget-setting is not without debate. The question of an appropriate carbon budget for the UK depends on accounting assumptions, modelling assumptions, the ethical position taken in relation to the UK’s responsibility for historic carbon, and its role in supporting other countries to decarbonise. For example, estimates of UK GHG emissions in 2016 ranged from a minimum of 473 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent on a Climate Change Act basis, to a maximum of 784 million tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent on a footprint basis. The UK’s carbon budgets currently exclude emissions from international aviation and shipping, which are only expected to be included after 2023.

As a result of differences in what emissions are included, some countries and regions have adopted earlier dates for net zero emissions. This includes over 50 local authorities in the UK that have set earlier targets for county, borough or city-wide activities and infrastructure.

Globally, what matters most from the perspective of climate change is the scientific estimate of the carbon budget, which can differ from nationally adopted budgets. The most recent estimate from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is that a global carbon budget of 420 gigatonnes would provide a 66% chance of remaining within 1.5°C of warming. Pure “science-based” targets start with this global carbon budget and allocate it down to national or sub-national levels.

Such targets have been developed by researchers from the University of Manchester and the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. These ‘science-based’ targets are available to UK local authorities through an online tool. The targets proposed by the Tyndall Centre equate to an average 12-14% annual reduction in emissions for the majority of places in the UK, in order to achieve a local carbon budget aligned to the Paris Agreement commitments.

Given the limited progress the transport sector has made to date on decarbonisation, these levels of change are unprecedented and equate to an 80% reduction in carbon emissions from surface transport by 2030. This is an incredibly challenging target that – based on past performance – the UK is unlikely to meet. However, if we are to rise to the challenge, achieve net zero and avoid climate catastrophe, actions need to be ambitious and have real impact.

In that context, this research uses the more ambitious science-based target of an 80% reduction in emissions from surface transport by 2030 as the basis for modelling and analysis.

In order to meet the UK’s overall net zero emissions target, the remaining 20% of emissions from surface transport would need to be eliminated by 2050. This points to the need to plan for a fully zero carbon surface transport network without offsetting, which should only be used alongside emissions reductions to achieve net zero in aviation and shipping.
2. Methodology

This research coupled qualitative methods (including online stakeholder workshops) with quantitative data analysis and modelling to enable the potential carbon impact of different interventions to be considered. This chapter summarises the process.

2.1. Identifying potential interventions to reduce surface transport emissions

The research team started by compiling a list of potential interventions that would be expected to reduce emissions from surface transport and contribute to delivery of better placemaking outcomes. This included transport planning interventions, such as measures to facilitate greater uptake of walking, cycling and public transport provision, and spatial planning measures to reduce travel demand and encourage behaviour change. The interventions were based on a literature review, and on the knowledge of existing best practice from professionals on the project team.

Additional interventions were suggested by stakeholders at a later stage, resulting in approximately 40 interventions being considered as part of the research. The full list of interventions is presented in Table 2.1.

2.2. Creating place typologies

Four representative ‘place typologies’ were then created in order to make the theoretical aspects of the research real and relevant, and to provide a focus for discussion with stakeholders.

The typologies are intended to represent a diverse mix of towns, cities and regions that share common characteristics and spatial challenges. Baseline data for each typology was drawn from detailed transport models, disaggregated carbon data and qualitative observations from real places that corresponded with the characteristics of that typology.

The typologies are not exact depictions or representations of any single place. This approach ensures that the analysis is robust, and the findings are relevant and transferable to a broad range of places. The four place typologies, from largest to smallest, are:

- The Wotton City-Region: a polycentric conurbation (page 5)
- Castlemore: a regional unicentric city (page 6)
- Stoneborough: a regeneration town (page 7)
- Ebsham: a village in the county of Monteshire (page 8)

The following pages contain an introduction to each of the typologies, with a map showing key features from the legend below.
Welcome to the Wotton City-Region: a polycentric conurbation

Population and housing: A large, nationally important conurbation of approximately 3 million people, with high housing need. Recent and planned growth is focused on brownfield regeneration, densification and the release of Green Belt land.

Economy and employment: The principal city is an economically dynamic hub for education, commerce, leisure and tourism, but surrounding towns have struggled to diversify their economies following the decline of traditional manufacturing industries. There are significant area-based disparities in income, skills, deprivation and environmental quality, with many town centres suffering long term decline. Complex land remediation requirements and viability are a barrier to brownfield development.

Travel and transport: There is significant out-commuting from peripheral towns to the principal city and to employment sites on infrastructure corridors. The public transport network comprised of bus, heavy rail and light rail systems, with plans to create a new walking and cycling network and increase uptake of electric vehicles. Integration of ticketing and networks is limited, and funding is not in place to deliver planned improvements.

Average journey length: 7.55 miles

Mode share

- Private vehicles: 61%
- Public transport: 27%
- Walking and cycling: 12%

Figure 2.1. The Wotton City-Region
**Welcome to Castlemore: a regional unicentric city**

**Population and housing:** A prosperous and growing city of approximately 150,000 people, set within a largely rural area. It has high housing need and takes the traditional approach of lower-density urban extensions which often fail to meet targets or deliver quality outcomes.

**Economy and employment:** The majority of jobs are in health, education, retail and public and professional services, with a strong rural agricultural sector and new sectors emerging in biological and environmental science. There is a diversified city centre economy, but this is increasingly reliant on leisure and residential uses as retail declines and employment shifts to peripheral business parks.

**Travel and transport:** There is significant in-commuting from the surrounding hinterland, and movement within the city to peripheral employment sites. Public transport is comprised of heavy rail and buses, with a limited bike hire network and poor integration between public transport and active travel networks. The city is strongly influenced by its rural hinterland, with green corridors and public rights of way providing links for commuting and leisure.

**Average journey length:** 9.06 miles

**Mode share**

- Private vehicles: 69%
- Public transport: 12%
- Walking and cycling: 19%

![Figure 2.2. The city of Castlemore and surrounding area](image-url)
Welcome to Stoneborough: a regeneration town

**Population and housing:** A medium sized town of 25,000 people, set within a post-industrial region of approximately 150,000 people. Extensive land is available to meet projected housing need, but low land values and property prices, and high land remediation costs, present a barrier to growth and development without public sector support.

**Economy and employment:** Traditional mining and heavy industries have declined, leaving manufacturing, skilled trades, health, social services and elementary occupations as the main sources of employment. There is significant deprivation in skills, earnings and health, with many neighbourhoods among the most deprived in England. Regeneration efforts focus on trying to reposition the town as a regional tourism destination, drawing on its industrial heritage, landscape and investment in culture.

**Travel and transport:** There are high levels of out-commuting to employment sites on the periphery of the town, which attract in-commuting from the wider area. The public transport network is mainly comprised of buses, with a rail station providing connections to nearby towns and a mainline station within 30 minutes, but with poor integration between train and bus services. The surrounding countryside is heavily modified by industrial activity and not subject to landscape or ecological designations, but has comparatively good access through restoration schemes.

**Average journey length:** 7.02 miles

**Mode share**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicles</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.3. The town of Stoneborough and surrounding area
Welcome to Ebsham: a village in the county of Monteshire

**Population and housing:** A village of approximately 5,000 people, located within commuting distance of a regional city, and set within a rural county with a dispersed settlement pattern and poor self-containment. High housing need, combined with a lack of brownfield sites and the small size of existing settlements, has created pressure for new standalone towns and villages on green field sites. However, much of the county is designated for landscape and ecological protection or as part of the Green Belt.

**Economy and employment:** A skilled and prosperous area with a high proportion of jobs in professional services, education, retail and healthcare.

**Travel and transport:** There is significant out-commuting from Ebsham and other small towns and villages in Monteshire to employment sites in the nearby city. The public transport network is weak: most areas are not served by the rail network, and bus services are slow and infrequent. Active travel infrastructure is very limited.

**Average journey length:** 7.62 miles

**Mode share**

- Private vehicles: 9%
- Public transport: 12%
- Walking and cycling: 79%

![Figure 2.4. Ebsham, set within the rural hinterland of Monteshire](image)
2.3. Stakeholder engagement

Forty stakeholders were selected to take part in the research, providing expertise from the public and private sectors representing urban design, planning authorities, developers, public transport and shared mobility operators.

Each stakeholder was allocated to one of the four typologies and provided with a base plan and pen portrait presenting its key characteristics in areas such as socio-demographics, housing, economy, transport, infrastructure and land use.

Stakeholders contributed through a combination of written exercises and two online workshops. Initially, they considered the carbon reduction interventions created by the project team and were invited to add additional interventions. They were then asked to rank interventions as high, medium or low priority based on the contribution they anticipated could be made to reducing carbon from transport and achieving better place outcomes. Discussions at the first workshop focussed on the merits of the highest ranking interventions, potential barriers to delivery and ways to overcome them.

Between the first and second workshop, the highest ranking interventions selected by stakeholders were modelled to consider the actual impact on carbon reduction. The results of this initial modelling informed discussions in the second workshop, which focused on additional interventions that stakeholders had previously identified as medium or low priority.

2.4. Using the Sustainable Accessibility and Mobility (SAM) Framework

This research started from the premise that a place-based approach to net zero transport should focus on solutions that create better places and healthier, happier, more resilient communities. These objectives are critical to good planning, linking the imperative to reduce transport emissions with wider objectives related to decarbonisation, housing growth and nature recovery.

This approach calls for measures that first focus on the role of place in reducing trips, before considering how to increase the proportion of the remaining trips that taken by active, public and shared forms of transport.

This basic hierarchy is summarised in the ‘Sustainable Accessibility and Mobility (SAM) Framework’, a tool created by Vectos to help planners and designers prioritise interventions in the following order:

1. Substitute Trips: Replace the need to travel beyond your community
2. Shift Modes: For longer trips, use active, public and shared forms of transport
3. Switch Fuels: For any trips that must be made by car, ensure the vehicle is zero emission
The SAM Framework was used to categorise and prioritise each of the interventions considered as part of this project and to provide a high-level structure for discussions with stakeholders, as set out in further detail below.

2.5. Testing carbon reduction interventions

Carbon disaggregation

This research used a carbon disaggregation model based on the UK territorial emissions table for local authorities, published by BEIS\(^\text{19}\).

The disaggregation model first allocated terrestrial emissions to each of the four typologies examined in the study. For some places (e.g. the Wotton City-Region) this required the aggregation of local authority data, while for others (e.g. Ebsham) this required disaggregating emissions data. In the latter cases, the relevant local authority data were used and local emissions disaggregated on the basis of population.

The model then used trip purpose and mode share data drawn from the National Travel Survey (NTS), 2011 Census and regional road traffic data from the Department for Transport\(^\text{20}\). The model utilises an in-depth analysis of origin-destination trip patterns to establish commuting behaviours and emissions, and then uses relationships observed within the NTS to allocate carbon across all purposes and modes.

The results (for personal trips) were validated against a similar exercise undertaken by the DfT in 2008\(^\text{21}\), while the impact of vehicle emission assumptions on the model were also validated using emission factors in DfT’s WebTAG. A full explanation of the approach to carbon modelling is provided in the separate Methodological Appendix.

Testing carbon reduction interventions

Having disaggregated carbon for each typology, approximately 40 interventions were considered that could reduce emissions from surface transport. A full list of the interventions is set out in Table 2.1. The carbon reduction impact on each intervention was modelled based on available academic and real-world evidence, and is summarised in relation to each intervention in the Appendix.

Individual interventions were sorted into 12 groups based on their general approach to reducing emissions and potentially overlapping outcomes. For example, the widespread adoption of ‘mobility as a service’ platforms assumes that a ‘modern transport network’ is already in place, and were sorted into the same group of interventions.

Finally, each of the 12 groups were placed within one of the three categories of the SAM Framework. This made it easier to discuss and compare priority interventions for the four typologies with stakeholders, and to create an emissions reduction pathway that prioritises place-based solutions and delivery of wider planning objectives.

To identify the emissions reduction pathway set out in Chapter 3, the carbon reduction evidence for individual interventions was converted into a series of assumptions, striking a balance between evidence for what has been achieved in the past, and ambition for what could feasibly be possible. These assumptions are explained further in the Appendix.

The pathway was modelled on a hierarchical basis, in line with the SAM Framework. This means that the impact of interventions that ‘substitute trips’ (i.e. reducing the need to travel) was modelled first, before considering what the additional impact of interventions in the ‘shift modes’ category would be. The impact of interventions to ‘switch fuels’ was only considered once all interventions in the first two categories of the SAM Framework had been modelled, ensuring that fuel switching is only used to eliminate residual carbon emissions.
### Substitute trips

- **Active travel infrastructure**
  - Cycling infrastructure - genuine connected network
  - Walking infrastructure - genuine connected network
  - Logistics infrastructure
  - Micro-consolidation - cargo bike / electric vehicle last mile delivery
  - Flexible pick up / drop off points for home deliveries

- **Land use planning**
  - Co-working spaces (local, in new developments / disused shops)
  - Mixed use developments meeting a greater range of local needs
  - Recreation space embedded in neighbourhoods
  - Local amenities within short walk and cycle (15-minute neighbourhood)

- **IT infrastructure**
  - Home working (superfast broadband and house design to allow for work space)
  - Remote study and ‘blended learning’ for further and higher education
  - Digital public services (e.g. GP online)

### Shift modes

- **Shared mobility**
  - Bike share
  - eBike share
  - Car share (club)
  - Electric vehicle car share (club)
  - Mobility hubs - integrated network

- **Modern public transport**
  - Demand Responsive Transport & Rideshare
  - Bus Rapid Transport
  - Bus priority traffic lights
  - Automated vehicle shuttles - last mile connectivity
  - Mobility as a Service - integrated public transport, on-demand and shared mobility services

- **Street design & access restrictions**
  - Low Traffic Neighbourhoods - active travel priority
  - Car free zones
  - Street space reallocation from car to active and public transport
  - 20mph zones
  - Controlled parking zones
  - Congestion charging zones

- **Fiscal measures**
  - Workplace Parking Levy
  - Fuel tax

### Switch fuels

- **Electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure**
  - EV charging (residential) + vehicle to grid technology
  - EV charging (stations / shops / work / mobility hubs)
  - Hydrogen fuel cell charging (stations / shops / work)

- **Conversion of fleets**
  - Convert commercial delivery and servicing fleets to EVs
  - Convert municipal delivery and servicing fleets to EVs
  - Convert public transport fleets to EVs

- **Fiscal measures**
  - Grants to trade in petrol / diesel for EVs

- **Access restrictions**
  - Low emission zones - Clean Air Zones

---

**Table 2.1. Interventions, grouped according to the SAM framework**
3. Findings

3.1. We need to plan for a ‘do everything’ carbon scenario

Following the approach set out in Chapter 2, this research found that no single intervention, or even combination of interventions, will achieve the required 80% reduction in surface transport emissions by 2030, in any of the four typologies.

Furthermore, in order to achieve an 80% reduction, progress in reducing the number of trips and increasing the share of journeys made via walking, cycling and electric public transport will need to substantially exceed the ‘best practice scenarios’ that previous evidence from the UK suggests is possible. This is a reflection of how far behind the transport sector is on the journey to decarbonisation, and points to the need to pursue a ‘do everything’ scenario.

It indicates an urgent need to move away from the traditional ‘predict and provide’ approach that continues to form the basis for much of the UK’s transport planning, and towards a ‘vision and validate’ approach that sets clear targets for trip reduction, mode share and carbon emissions. These targets, and the wider vision they sit within, need to form the basis of a policy framework that supports the planning, funding and delivery of the necessary interventions.

Importantly, many of the interventions needed to achieve these targets are outside the scope of what is traditionally considered as ‘transport planning’. Effective implementation is dependent on a much more integrated approach to transport and land use planning - one that puts carbon reduction first.

3.2. A pathway to net zero surface transport

This research identified a potential pathway to an 80% reduction in surface transport emissions by 2030. The pathway is hierarchical, following the approach summarised in Chapter 2.

The first step assumes that all new development is planned, designed and delivered in a way that achieves net zero transport emissions, and ideally to be ‘negative carbon’ by helping to reduce transport emissions at a wider scale. The following steps then reflect the three categories of the SAM Framework, with the carbon impact of interventions modelled sequentially.

Step 2 includes interventions that reduce the overall need to travel, while Step 3 includes those which shift modes away from private vehicles and towards walking, cycling and public transport. Step 4 includes measures which switch fuels away from Internal Combustion Engines (ICEs) and towards zero carbon fuels such as electricity and hydrogen.

It is important to note that this final step plays an important role in decarbonisation, but will not reduce trips and energy consumption or deliver place-benefits for people and communities. Therefore, planning needs to focus on keeping private trips to a minimum and providing alternative modes for trips that need to be made. This will ensure that private road-based transport is only used for residual trips that genuinely cannot be removed or undertaken by other modes, following the necessary investment in place and infrastructure.

As there is a decade to achieve the 80% target, all steps along the pathway need to be taken at the same time in order to make the necessary reductions. These steps are explained in further detail on page 14.

The pathway to decarbonisation is broadly similar across the four place typologies used in this project. This is illustrated in the ‘waterfall’ graph below, which shows the potential emission reductions that could be achieved when averaged across the four place typologies. Individual waterfall graphs for each place typology are included in Chapter 4.
### 2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions rise by 7% by 2030 as new development continues to create additional trip demands.

### Step 2: Substitute trips

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 14%.

### Step 3: Shift modes

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 6%.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 7%.

### Step 4: Switch fuels

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 53%.

### 2030 transport carbon budget under a ‘do everything’ scenario

An 80% reduction achieved, with a further 20% reduction needed to achieve net zero by 2050.
3.3. The four pathway steps, explained

**Step 1: Zero carbon as a precondition for growth**

Step 1 ensures that no new transport emissions are created. For all four typologies, the modelling shows that a ‘do-nothing’ scenario leads to increased emissions, reflecting the fundamentally unsustainable nature of current transport and land-use planning. This could worsen if government continues to pursue policies to increase the scale and pace of housing delivery without ensuring that net zero transport emissions are a guiding principle and condition of all new development.

Retrofitting carbon reduction is difficult and expensive. It is therefore essential that all new development is planned, designed and delivered to achieve net zero transport emissions. Ideally, development should be carbon negative, demonstrating wider carbon reduction benefits by providing infrastructure that enables other places to decarbonise.

**Step 2: Demand reduction through local living**

Step 2 maximises the potential to reduce travel demand by making use of home-working and digital service delivery, and by making it easier for people to access the services and activities they need locally through the concept of 15-minute neighbourhoods and local living. Mobility restrictions imposed to control the Covid-19 pandemic have highlighted both the importance of proximity to local services, amenities and outdoor spaces, and rates of home working which far exceed previous assumptions.

The principles of local living and greater home-working must be applied in all new development and through area-based renewal and regeneration of existing places. Based on the potential impacts assumed, the modelling shows that demand reduction could reduce transport emissions by around 14% in each typology.

**Step 3: Mode shift away from private vehicles to walking, cycling and public transport**

Step 3 ensures that mode shift to walking, cycling, public and shared transport is promoted and achieved, going beyond the UK’s existing ‘best practice’ benchmarks. Figure 3.1 illustrates the potential carbon reductions from mode share changes based on existing UK benchmarks, and additional targets which exceed these.

For example, to achieve the current UK ‘best practice’ benchmarks for the Wotton City-Region, the mode share for walking and cycling must increase by 10% for short journeys and 6% for longer journeys, while the mode share for public transport must increase by 24% for short journeys and 14% for longer journeys. In this typology, these changes reduce transport emissions by 13%.

To move significantly beyond the UK benchmark requires either further reductions in longer-distance trips or the switching of these trips to public transport. The modelling shows a further 7% modal shift to public transport could further reduce transport emissions in the Wotton City-Region by 5%.

For smaller settlements, UK best practice does not provide a particularly ambitious benchmark. For Castlemore to match the current UK benchmark, the mode share for active travel would have to increase by 6% for short journeys and 4% for long distance journeys. The mode share for public transport would only have to increase by 3% over all distances. These changes would reduce carbon by only 4%. Similar emission reductions from mode shift would also occur in Stoneborough and Ebsham. This is clearly insufficient to deliver the necessary reduction in emissions by 2030.

The research therefore modelled the contribution of a more ambitious mode share target, where a greater proportion of longer-distance trips are served by public transport. This moves the level of ambition beyond the current UK best practice benchmark by assuming that 40% of longer-distance trips could be served by public transport.
The model also accounts for current implied occupancy. In Stoneborough, for example, the additional public transport mode share for longer distance trips has limited impact on the basis of current occupancy. Actions would therefore need to be taken to increase occupancy in order to maximise the benefits of an increased public transport mode share.

In the short-term, the Covid-19 pandemic has had a severely negative impact on public transport. It is unclear how quickly service provision and occupancy will recover to pre-pandemic levels, let alone exceed them, in light of changed travel habits during 2020 and possible residual public health concerns around public transport once restrictions have ended. Measures to restore public confidence in public transport are likely to be necessary, alongside measures to ensure that it is an attractive and viable alternative to the private car for a wider range of people.

**Step 4: Fuel switching**

Step 4, the final step, ensures that residual emissions are addressed through zero emission fuels. The transition to electric/hydrogen vehicles and zero carbon freight and public transport still has a considerable role to play in each typology (between 45% and 55%) despite the ambitious demand reduction and mode share initiatives assumed in the previous steps. These changes also potentially go beyond existing national policy, which is estimated to account for 40% of the proposed fuel-switching impacts by 2030. This implies that accelerated local action to promote fleet and infrastructure decarbonisation will be essential to deliver an 80% reduction in transport emissions.

Despite similarities in the interventions needed to achieve an 80% reduction across the four place typologies, the implementation of this pathway would need to be tailored to local circumstances in order to meet community needs and maximise placemaking benefits. These are explored in the following chapter.
4. Planning for net zero transport

4.1. A new model of spatial planning

The modelling and stakeholder engagement carried out during this research demonstrates that, without radical change, our transport and land use planning systems are not going to deliver the net zero outcomes needed to meet our legal targets and avoid catastrophic climate change.

The planning system in England has become overly focused on the promotion, allocation and servicing of land to deliver homes and commercial development, without giving meaningful consideration to the impact on carbon emissions or the important role of placemaking in facilitating local living. Reform often focuses on facilitating the current business model of private developers, rather than the creation of good quality homes in sustainable communities that are fit for the future.

Meanwhile, the transport planning system often remains constrained by the traditional approach of predicting demand and providing road capacity to meet it, instead of focusing on trip reduction and the promotion of active and public transport as genuine alternatives to the private car.

The transport and land use planning systems are therefore dependent on each other to deliver the outcomes we need, but each operates largely in isolation.

Our research supports the case for a radical shift to a new model of integrated spatial planning, underpinned by a strong place vision and focused on the delivery of ambitious, cross-cutting outcomes. These outcomes need to look beyond numerical housing targets, road capacity and expectations of architectural design. At the most basic level, spatial planning needs to make ‘net zero’ outcomes a prerequisite of new development, and a priority for urban renewal. This alone requires a significant uplift in ambition compared to the current approach.

But if spatial planning is to deliver net zero at pace, while addressing other challenges such as high street decline, habitat loss, inequality and deprivation, we need to be even more ambitious. The planning system must set clear expectations for truly sustainable development, and be capable of delivering the right outcomes.

These outcomes must cover all of the elements that combine to create great places, such as accessible local amenities for work and leisure, child-friendly liveable streets, multifunctional green infrastructure, and a sense of place and belonging that encourages people to live locally and travel less.

Outcomes need to be rooted in bold visions for the future. These should not just seek to manage, mitigate and regulate change, but rather work to proactively initiate and shape change in order to achieve better outcomes – ones that are tailored to the needs, circumstances and potential of different places. This must take place while delivering the national imperative to eliminate emissions from surface transport.

The potential pathway to decarbonisation identified through this research (summarised in Figure 3.1) shows that technological solutions, such as the mainstream uptake of EVs, will still play a key role in reducing emissions. But without a bold vision focused on mode share targets, place-based solutions and the achievement of wider planning objectives, they will not reduce travel demand or create the places we need. On the contrary, Ofgem estimates that by 2050, the use of EVs may need to increase from 230,000 today to 46 million in order to meet the UK’s net zero target. This would lock-in the car dependent lifestyles which have already contributed to the health, social and environmental challenges faced today.

Instead, spatial planning needs to create visions for the future that are designed to facilitate and encourage new forms of local living on an everyday basis. They must also ensure that places are designed to maximise the availability, connectivity and use of walking, cycling and public transport networks. By necessity, this will involve reducing the dominance of private vehicles to create the space needed for new forms of development, infrastructure and spaces that stand a credible chance of facilitating behaviour change at the required scale. This will not be easy, but it is necessary.
Sections 4.2 and 4.3 set out what a new model of spatial planning could look like, alongside the building blocks that could facilitate a place-based approach to net zero transport through local living and integrated mobility.

4.2. Spatial planning principles and building blocks for a net zero built environment

Figure 4.1. shows the core spatial planning principles to facilitate local living, trip reduction and sustainable mobility within and between settlements. At the heart of this model is the principle that place based solutions to net zero transport should be the key determinants when ‘zoning’ land for development or protection through the planning system. This principle is reflected in three key concepts: the Carbon Negative Growth Zone, Low Carbon Renewal Zone, and Strategic Green Infrastructure.

Firstly, new growth and development (such as urban extensions, garden towns and villages) should be planned, designed and delivered on the basis of achieving ‘Carbon Negative Growth’. This means development should not only deliver net zero transport outcomes from day one, but should contribute to carbon reduction on a wider scale through reducing travel demand, enabling mode shift and facilitating the switch to cleaner fuels on the existing network. While this research focuses on net zero transport, the principle of ‘Carbon Negative
Growth’ should be extended to other aspects of development in support of the wider decarbonisation agenda.

Secondly, this shows that urban renewal will be essential to reduce existing travel demand and redesign existing streets and spaces around active and public transport. It will also be necessary to enable micro-consolidation and low carbon logistics. This change is unlikely to come about through ad-hoc development in built up areas, and will instead require a coordinated approach to regeneration, redevelopment and the reorientation of transport networks around sustainable modes. The creation of Low Carbon Renewal Zones could provide a framework to better understand the challenges and opportunities of achieving net zero transport within existing areas, and enable the development of a structured programme to implement measures that reduce emissions and deliver wider placemaking benefit for existing communities.

Many places across the world have begun the process of reorienting transport networks in their town and city centres. We suggest this approach could be taken up more widely and accelerated in the UK as part of urban renewal. However, in order to achieve net zero, a ‘whole place’ approach will ultimately be needed to achieve the necessary reductions in emissions and maximise place benefits for communities.

Finally, strategic green infrastructure should play a greater role in achieving net zero transport, improving local access while offering opportunities for tranquillity and recreation, and creating a stronger link between the productive rural economy and local logistics networks.

The ways in which planning can help to achieve net zero transport will differ depending on local circumstances, and on the balance of interventions made to substitute trips, shift modes and shift fuels.

The following pages set out key building blocks that could play a critical role as part of a place-based approach to net zero transport, and which are reflected in the visions for the four place typologies set out at the end of this chapter.

Figure 4.2: The 15-minute neighbourhood

The ‘15 minute neighbourhood’ (sometimes referred to as the 15-minute city or the 20-minute neighbourhood) is one of the foundations upon which net zero transport networks can be built. The concept has become increasingly prominent since its inclusion in Melbourne’s long term planning strategy in 2018, and its adoption by the mayor of Paris, Anne Hidalgo, in February 2020.

The defining characteristic of the ‘15 minute neighbourhood’ is that people are able to ‘live local’ and meet most of their daily needs within a 15 minute walk or cycle from their home. This includes, for example, access to schools, grocery shopping and places to socialise, exercise and work. The ability to ‘live local’ in this way reduces both the number and length of journeys made on a daily basis, resulting in significant carbon savings. It also creates the conditions for healthier, happier communities.

Walking and cycling become the natural choice for short trips, facilitated and encouraged by redesigned streets and public realm that prioritises community wellbeing and the movement of people over the movement and parking of private cars. Increased levels of walking and cycling contribute to better physical outcomes and improved mental health, while providing more opportunities to spend time in green space reduces the risk of anxiety and depression. The ability to access everyday needs within the local area also contributes to the creation of more equitable and inclusive communities by removing the transport barriers to jobs and services faced by people without access to a car, and who often live far from the services upon which they rely.

While local living is the key tenet of the 15 minute neighbourhood, providing access to affordable, integrated public transport and new forms of shared mobility such as e-Bikes, e-Scooters and EV car clubs is also vital. This ensures that sustainable modes are a viable and attractive option for the medium and longer distance journeys that are responsible for most surface transport emissions. Higher density mixed-use development supports the viability of these transport networks, but the form, scale and appearance for 15 minute neighbourhoods can be tailored to reflect local place context.
and the needs and aspirations of local communities. Outside of towns, cities and built-up urban areas, this might mean taking measures to increase people’s ability to live local and use sustainable modes of transport, while being flexible on the application of a ‘15 minute’ target.

In order to maximise its effectiveness, the 15 minute neighbourhood concept could be rolled-out across urban areas and their hinterlands through new development and urban renewal. A coordinated roll-out of the concept could create a connected network of neighbourhoods that scale up reductions in transport emissions and make local living, good placemaking and sustainable mobility the norm.
The neighbourhood centre sits at the heart of the 15 minute neighbourhood. It is the hub of community life and a focal point for the high-quality spaces, services and amenities that enable people to live local and travel less.

It accommodates higher density development combining a mix of uses including homes and space for employment and leisure to maximise its vitality and support the viability of businesses, services and public transport infrastructure.

New types of adaptable and multi-purpose spaces are key components of the neighbourhood centre, designing-in resilience to fast-paced changes in retail, public service provision and climate. Local markets, pop-up shops and flexible workspaces are not seen as 'meanwhile uses', but as distinctive anchors of a thriving community alongside more traditional 'fixed' services such as schools, health centres and pubs.

Services and facilities are tailored to the local community, minimising the need for people to travel to another area of their city or county to access the services they most rely on.

The neighbourhood centre is co-located with a mobility hub, providing excellent public transport and shared mobility connectivity as a convenient and affordable alternative to the private car.
In order to maximise the uptake of walking, cycling, public and shared transport, streets are redesigned to prioritise the movement of people via sustainable modes. People of all ages and abilities feel safe and confident to walk and cycle around their neighbourhood to access local services and facilities, or to access public transport and shared mobility such as EV car clubs as part of a longer trip.

Access and parking for private vehicles and motorised freight is restricted to ensure that sustainable modes are always the most convenient and affordable option for most trips, and to create the conditions for healthier, more liveable streets.

Restricting vehicle access and removing on-street parking means children can play safely in the street. New trees, parklets and sustainable drainage features bring nature to every doorstep, with benefits to biodiversity and people’s health and wellbeing. Previously tarmacked corridors lined by cars become green, social places with space for people to come together as a community, as well as playing a vital role in facilitating sustainable mobility within and outside of the neighbourhood.
The mobility hub is the focus of trips between neighbourhoods, forming a locus around which walking, cycling, public transport and shared mobility networks converge to enable seamless movement for medium and longer distance trips.

The hub is co-located with the neighbourhood centre, driving footfall to local services and amenities. It also forms a local employment ‘destination’ for people who no longer need to commute long distances to the main office, but who value the separation of work and home-life when working remotely. Space could also be provided for ‘make spaces’ and learning to suit demand for different types of space at local level.

In addition to personal trips, the mobility hub supports the development of net zero logistics through provision of fast charge points for e-cargo bikes and EVs, integration with dedicated local logistics connections, and space for micro-consolidation to enable last-mile delivery via sustainable modes.
4.3. Leading with a vision

It is clear that the integration of transport planning with other aspects of land use planning is vital to achieve net zero. However, to maximise the potential of a more integrated planning system, we need a cultural shift from a mitigative to a creative approach.

In transport planning, this means decisively abandoning outdated assessment methodologies such as ‘predict and provide’, which lock-in and plan for continued high car dependency, and adopting new approaches such as ‘decide and provide’ and ‘vision and validate’, which prioritise the identification and delivery of more sustainable outcomes.

In land use planning, the shift to a creative mindset means going back to the first principles of planning, which are to plan for the benefit of the public. The application of a new model of spatial planning creates opportunities to reshape places in ways that deliver net zero transport and better placemaking - creating places which are more active, healthy, inclusive and equitable, support net gains to the environment, and provide greater resilience to economic, social and climatic changes.

The measures needed to maximise local living will strengthen the relationship between people and place. This applies to the way in which people access services, use spaces and interact with each other on a daily basis. But it also applies to the way in which communities interact with and use the built and natural environment to support local economic activity and community resilience.

For example, currently unproductive landscapes could be used to generate the electricity needed to power local net zero mobility networks, or to grow food which is supplied back to local towns and cities through net zero logistics networks.

The use of digital technology and 3D printing opens up the possibility of enabling former manufacturing heartlands to become hubs of specialised industry, creating local employment opportunities.

4.4. Spatial visions for the four typologies

Pages 24 to 43 contain a vision for each of the typologies, showing how the emission reduction pathways, core spatial planning principles and building blocks could be applied to transform the place by 2030.

Each vision is accompanied by a legend to help the reader interpret where key land use and transport interventions have been made. Each vision is also accompanied by a short description of key changes and features, a specific emissions reduction pathway for 2020 to 2030, and travel data.
What’s changed?

By 2030, the Wotton City-Region is becoming a sustainable urban ecosystem, underpinned by net zero emission transport and innovative approaches to local living. The hollowing-out effect of the 'city and satellites' model has given way to a relocalisation of jobs, services and amenities. The conurbation of 3 million is becoming a patchwork of thriving ‘15 minute neighbourhoods’, connected by strategic active travel routes and an integrated public transport network that meets demand for commuter and leisure travel across the conurbation and beyond.

Enhancements to bus, train and light-rail services along radial routes have been accompanied by investment in cross city services and new forms of digitally enabled shared transport. Car clubs, demand responsive shuttles and e-scooters facilitate sustainable point to point journeys anywhere in the city at any time where active or public transport cannot be used. Private vehicle movements have been restricted and discouraged through a zonal approach to access. Congestion has been reduced, air quality improved, and new types of development and public space are being created.

Densification is delivering a mix of high quality homes to meet the needs of all demographics, and has provided the critical mass of people needed to support local services, amenities and high frequency public transport in an age of greater home working and digital commerce. Urban renewal is allowing innovative forms of green infrastructure to be retrofitted within the urban environment. Rooftops and building terraces provide secluded spots for nature, streets are designed for people to interact with plants and pollinators on an everyday basis, and vertical farming is a key component of every neighbourhood, reducing food miles and restoring the link between what people eat and grow.

Parks and open spaces have been expanded and connected through new public spaces to create naturalistic green corridors that link the conurbation with the protected landscapes beyond. These provide accessible tranquillity away from the noise of the city, and important space for nature recovery and habitat connectivity.

Planned growth outside existing urban areas has been rescaled and relocated to better support the sustainable growth and regeneration of existing towns and to align with new and enhanced public transport provision.

Key features of the vision

1. Mobility hubs allow for seamless transfer between sustainable modes of transport within the city
2. 15-minute neighbourhoods including local amenities such as shops, doctors and schools to enable local living
3. Car-free city centre and a zonal approach to vehicle restrictions across the urban area to make active and public transport the default option for cross-city journeys
4. Integrated urban agriculture, such as vertical farming and hydroponics to serve local shops and neighbourhood markets
5. Sensitive densification delivers new homes and city centre neighbourhoods with amenities for all demographics, including work hubs, gyms, health centres and play areas
6. Integrated public transport networks both within the city centre and to local towns
7. Strategic green corridors provide urban cooling, space for people and nature and accessible connections to the countryside
8. Protected landscapes managed to support nature recovery, landscape character and sustainable leisure
9. Logistics hubs and rail freight enable more efficient use of road space and facilitate last-mile delivery by more sustainable modes such as e-cargo bike
10. Carbon negative growth zones located on high quality public transport routes and designed around principles of local living and net zero emissions
2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Wotton rise by 176 ktCO2e/year, with new development creating additional trip demands.

Step 2: Substitute trips

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 359 ktCO2e/year.

Step 4: Switch fuels

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 1,204 ktCO2e/year.

Step 1: Negative carbon developments

All development in Wotton is located and designed to generate zero emissions from transport, and to potentially facilitate the removal of carbon from the wider transport network. This cancels out the emissions growth under the ‘do nothing’ scenario.

Step 3: Shift modes

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 335 ktCO2e/year.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 117 ktCO2e/year.

2030 transport carbon budget under a ‘do everything’ scenario

An 80% reduction achieved, with a further 20% reduction needed to achieve net zero by 2050.
### Figure 4.2. Travel data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode share</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicles</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 miles</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 5 miles</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average journey length</strong></td>
<td>7.55 miles</td>
<td>6.85 miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s changed?

By 2030, the city of Castlemore is being transformed into a series of interconnected urban villages that bring together the best of town and country. The city centre is the hub of community life. High quality homes are accompanied by new forms of co-working spaces and independent retail, bringing renewed vitality and a sense of local identity to the high street following the loss of mass-market retailers and the migration of traditional sources of employment to the city edge.

Streets within neighbourhoods across the city have been repurposed for liveability and to give people walking and cycling priority. Street trees parklets, urban wetlands and playgrounds encourage slow movement, play and social gatherings between friends and neighbours. Parents feel comfortable letting their children play in the street, and the morning commute is a trip to look forward to.

New areas of growth have been located to maximise the use of sustainable modes of transport from the outset, and have unlocked significant investment in public transport, strategic cycle routes and shared mobility. Car-free living is becoming the norm as vehicles are no longer the most obvious or convenient choice, and as people enjoy the experience of moving through the city’s streets and spaces.

The impact of in-commuting has been substantially reduced through the creation of integrated mobility hubs and restrictions on vehicle access within the city. This has facilitated last mile connectivity to the city centre via active and public transport, and created the conditions to deliver more liveable streets.

The relationship between the city and its rural hinterland has been strengthened through a focus on better connecting local agriculture, forest schools and rural industry with local residents and supply chains. Logistics hubs are located next to areas of production and connected directly to the strategic cycle and rail networks, enabling micro-distribution to the local area via cargo bikes and to the wider region via rail. People living in the city increasingly feel they have a stake in the surrounding rural economy and landscape.

Smaller towns and villages in the wider hinterland are becoming more resilient and sustainable, with better digital connectivity and higher levels of home working. This is supporting the return of local services and amenities, and demand responsive transport is removing the barriers posed by distance to traditional public transport stops.

Key features of the vision

1. 15-minute neighbourhoods including local amenities such as shops, doctors and schools to enable local living
2. Community growing space providing opportunities for locally produced food, sold in local markets and shops
3. Excellent 5G / WiFi connectivity provided to rural locations to enable home working and digital service delivery such as online GP appointments and e-learning
4. Community hubs provide coworking and ‘pop up’ space for a range of uses, reducing the need to commute long distances
5. Mobility hubs allow for easy transfer to alternative modes of transport within the city
6. Car-free city centre creates space for healthy streets, active lifestyles and mixed-use urban renewal that reduces emissions
7. Integrated public transport networks connecting the city centre, suburbs and local towns
8. Active travel connections provided through high quality green infrastructure that provides tranquillity for urban residents and habitats to support nature recovery
9. Logistics hubs enable more efficient use of road space and facilitate last-mile delivery by more sustainable modes such as e-cargo bike
10. Carbon negative growth zones located on high quality public transport routes and designed around principles of local living and net zero emissions
Figure 4.3. An 80% surface transport emission reduction pathway for Castlemore

2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Castlemore rise by 20 ktCO2e / year, with new development creating additional trip demands.

Step 2: Substitute trips

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 40 ktCO2e / year.

Step 3: Shift modes

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 11 ktCO2e / year.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 33 ktCO2e / year.

Step 4: Switch fuels

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 142 ktCO2e / year.

2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Castlemore rise by 20 ktCO2e / year, with new development creating additional trip demands.

Step 2: Substitute trips

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 40 ktCO2e / year.

Step 3: Shift modes

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 11 ktCO2e / year.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 33 ktCO2e / year.

Step 4: Switch fuels

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 142 ktCO2e / year.

2030 transport carbon budget under a ‘do everything’ scenario

An 80% reduction achieved, with a further 20% reduction needed to achieve net zero by 2050.
### Figure 4.4. Travel data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode share</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicles</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 miles</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 5 miles</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average journey length</strong></td>
<td>9.06 miles</td>
<td>8.04 miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s changed?

By 2030, regenerative localism is restoring the vibrancy of Stoneborough and creating an equitable relationship between people and place. Gentle densification within existing neighbourhoods is facilitating the renewal of the urban fabric, enhancement of open spaces and creation of liveable streets to encourage greater physical activity and mental wellbeing.

Development of 15 minute neighbourhoods on vacant brownfield sites is reinforcing the role of the town as the locus for growth in the region, and reducing the need for outward expansion. The transformation of out-of-town retail parks into mixed use neighbourhoods, open-access manufacturing spaces and consolidated freight hubs has facilitated the return of commercial activity to the high street.

This has been supported by the creation of a safe, attractive and welcoming town centre environment that people want to spend time in. Historic buildings and spaces take centre stage in a place designed to showcase local culture, history and makers, and attract visitor spend every weekend of the year. New town centre living, education and workspaces designed to benefit local skills and strengths generate activity through the week.

To make space for this transformation, the town centre has become a mostly car-free environment, supported by measures to make it as accessible as possible via active and public transport. Equality of access is a core principle of mobility. A growing network of regional cycle routes converges on the town centre and is accompanied by an affordable, reliable e-Bike hire system, ensuring everybody can benefit from cycling as an everyday mode of transport irrespective of income or their ability to store a bike at home.

Enhancements to the frequency and integration of bus and rail services are making public transport an attractive and credible option for many. New integrated mobility hubs and regional rail stations are enabling multi-modal journeys for work and have opened up the landscape to local residents and visitors who were previously unable to access the countryside for leisure purposes.

The post-industrial landscape has morphed into a ‘green deal landscape’, with new economic sectors such as outdoor pursuits and adventure activities thriving alongside continued investment in renewable energy, modern manufacturing and sustainable forestry and nature recovery.

Key features of the vision

1. Smaller communities retrofitted along 15 minute neighbourhood principles to increase local living and resilience
2. Regional mobility corridors prioritise affordable, reliable public transport to provide equitable access to services, employment and recreation
3. ‘Make Spaces’ provide opportunities for local employment and new businesses, reducing the need to travel for work
4. A carbon negative growth zone located on a high quality public transport route and designed around principles of local living and net zero emissions.
5. Large scale renewable energy production and grid upgrades support net zero electric mobility networks and the development of new zero carbon industries and employment
6. Active travel connections provided through high quality green infrastructure to increase physical activity, provide tranquillity for urban residents and habitats to support nature recovery
7. Out of town retail sites redeveloped to accommodate net zero emission growth and to strengthen the town centre
8. Regenerated town centre with new homes, work and education spaces and a high quality public realm to support the visitor economy
9. Integrated public transport networks within and between local towns
10. Post-industrial landscape re-purposed and enhanced for sustainable recreation and tourism, accessible via new active and public transport connections
Figure 4.5. An 81% surface transport emission reduction pathway for Stoneborough

**2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario**

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Stoneborough rise by 2 ktCO2e / year, with new development creating additional trip demands.

**Step 2: Substitute trips**

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 3.4 ktCO2e / year.

**Step 3: Shift modes**

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 1 ktCO2e / year.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 0.5 ktCO2e / year.

**Step 4: Switch fuels**

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 14.3 ktCO2e / year.

**2030 transport carbon budget under a ‘do everything’ scenario**

An 81% reduction achieved, with a further 19% reduction needed to achieve net zero by 2050.
Figure 4.6. Travel data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode share</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicles</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling

- Under 5 miles: 30% (2020) to 50% (2030)
- Over 5 miles: 5% (2020) to 11% (2030)

Average journey length

- 7.02 miles (2020)
- 6.19 miles (2030)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legend</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land use</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low carbon renewal zone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon negative growth zone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic mobility hub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic logistics hub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landscape</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open countryside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks / open space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allotments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway / train station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail logistics connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local logistics connections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement corridors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic cycle route</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrianised streets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public square</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV public transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living lanes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buildings</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community work hub</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gigafactory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurposed out of town</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local markets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active travel infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle friendly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian friendly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logistics infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Cargo bike</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground drone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land use planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-minute neighbourhood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IT infrastructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online tasks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband / 5G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shared mobility</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Bikes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car clubs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle to grid technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility hubs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shift modes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV EV shuttles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public / shared modes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Street design and access restrictions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car-free centres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop-off / pick-up priority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last mile connectivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segregated cycle highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Switch fuels</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV charging infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV charging off-street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV charging on-street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast EV charging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV taxi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By 2030, the county of Monteshire has put net zero transport at the heart of its growth, while protecting and enhancing the rural character of its villages (such as Ebsham) and surrounding countryside. Areas of growth are planned to achieve high levels of self-containment and facilitate local living from the outset. Growth is designed with a post-Covid economy in mind, with space for home working in every new dwelling and co-working and administrative hubs planned for every neighbourhood to reduce the need to travel.

Car ownership is discouraged through the design of the public realm and the ‘decoupling’ of private parking from new home sales. Active and public transport are increasingly the most convenient, affordable and desirable option for travel outside the neighbourhood, with shared car clubs and demand responsive transport providing for journeys where active and public transport are not an option.

Fast and direct connectivity between areas of growth and the largest trip generators in the nearby city has been provided along strategic mobility corridors that prioritise public transport, active travel and multioccupancy vehicles. Strategic corridors connect into an enhanced rural mobility network via existing towns and new multipurpose mobility hubs that are providing social and community spaces alongside transport infrastructure.

Secondary country roads have been repurposed as car-free ‘living lanes’ that enable residents of smaller towns and villages to access essential services, facilities and mobility hubs by bike without fear of fast moving traffic. They have also increased the range of walking routes and outdoor spaces available for recreation and leisure.

The resilience of the smallest towns and villages has been enhanced through digital upgrades to enable home working and online service delivery. Community owned energy networks are being created to charge vehicles using locally generated electricity and generate revenue for further investment in local services and infrastructure. The historic role of the village store is being reinvented through the ‘village pop-up’, with local knowledge and expertise harnessed to provide a sustainable mix of services and activities that are relevant to the community.

Key features of the vision

1. Living Lanes - repurposed country lanes provide a network of routes for active travel to enable safe cycling between villages and mobility hubs
2. Excellent 5G / WiFi connectivity provided to rural locations to enable home working and digital services delivery such as online GP appointments and e-learning
3. Smaller communities retrofitted along 15 minute neighbourhood principles to increase resilience with adaptable community space and low-traffic centres
4. 15-minute neighbourhoods including local amenities such as shops, doctors and schools
5. Integrated, frequent and affordable public transport on strategic mobility corridors
6. Strategic cycle routes with segregated lanes, secure bike parking and lockers at key nodes enable people of all ages and abilities to cycle for everyday journeys
7. A carbon negative growth zone located on a high-quality public transport route and designed around principles of local living and net zero emissions.
8. Logistics hubs enable more efficient use of road space and facilitate last-mile delivery by more sustainable modes
9. Mobility hubs providing shared mobility such as eBikes and car clubs, as well as the provision of EV charging points
10. Community work hubs provide ‘pop up’ space for a range of uses

What’s changed?
The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Ebsham rise by 0.8 ktCO2e / year, with new development creating additional trip demands.

**Step 2: Substitute trips**

Trips are substituted through digital, transport and land use planning interventions. These reduce travel demand and associated transport emissions by 1.6 ktCO2e / year.

**Step 4: Switch fuels**

Private vehicles, public transport and freight switch to zero carbon fuels in line with the projected UK national pathway up to 2030. This reduces emissions by the remaining 5.8 ktCO2e / year.

**Step 1: Negative carbon developments**

All development in Ebsham is located and designed to generate zero emissions from transport, and to potentially facilitate the removal of carbon from the wider transport network. This cancels out the emissions growth under the ‘do nothing’ scenario.

**Step 3: Shift modes**

Vehicle trips are reduced by switching modes to active and public transport, based on current UK best practice benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by 0.5 ktCO2e / year.

Under the ‘additional target’, trips are further reduced through increased mode shift to active and public transport, based on more ambitious assumptions that exceed current UK benchmarks. This reduces transport emissions by a further 1 ktCO2e / year.

**2030 transport carbon budget under a ‘do everything’ scenario**

A 79% reduction achieved, with a further 21% reduction needed to achieve net zero by 2050.

**2020 transport carbon budget and a ‘do nothing’ scenario**

The left hand column shows total surface transport emissions in 2020. Under a ‘do nothing’ scenario, with no national or local action, emissions in Ebsham rise by 0.8 ktCO2e / year, with new development creating additional trip demands.
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Figure 4.7. A 79% surface transport emission reduction pathway for Ebsham
Figure 4.8. Travel data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode share</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private vehicles</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of journeys made by walking and cycling</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 miles:</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 5 miles:</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average journey length
- 2020: 7.62 miles
- 2030: 6.7 miles
5. Delivering net zero transport

This research has set out a pathway to achieving net zero transport while also delivering better place outcomes. Technological advancements will continue to make cleaner fuels and zero emissions vehicles a reality. However, it is not certain that the planning and transport systems will adapt to enable decarbonisation to catalyse better placemaking. This requires concerted action to ensure that policy and funding is aligned to support a place-based approach to decarbonisation.

It suggests the need to rethink the traditional approach to town planning, which starts with the allocation or zoning of available land for defined use. Instead, the system should put carbon reduction first, with net zero transport emissions a pre-requisite for new growth, and a priority for urban renewal and regeneration, as explained in Chapter 4.

Figure 5.1, on the following page, illustrates how a ‘carbon first’ approach might be shown in a form of a simple visual Local Plan for the ‘Castlemore’ typology. This would sit alongside the place vision and more detailed masterplanning through the plan-making process.

The need to bring the town and transport planning systems together to deliver net zero transport and better placemaking should be a central consideration in continuing discussions on planning reform in England, and a priority across the UK and Ireland.

5.1. Recommendations from the stakeholder workshops

Despite the clear benefits that result from a place-based approach to decarbonisation, this research identified major obstacles in converting the public, political and legislative will to achieve net zero transport emissions into tangible results on the ground. Many reasons were cited for this disconnect between ambition and reality during the stakeholder workshop. These include:

- A lack of effective leadership within central and local government to drive forward the net zero agenda and ensure that plans, policies and decision-making prioritise transport decarbonisation.

- Poor integration of public policy at national and local levels, in terms of aligning the transport and planning systems with achievement of net zero targets and delivery of better place outcomes.

- Difficulties for local planning and highway authorities who wish to drive net zero outcomes at the local level, stemming in part from the lack of clear national support and fragmentation of responsibilities and service delivery.

- A lack of funding and resources to invest in effective spatial planning, active travel, public transport and shared mobility, which often precludes the development and implementation of more ambitious strategies to achieve net zero transport.

- Barriers that limit the scope for significant mode shift for consumers, including inadequate sustainable transport infrastructure, the high cost of public transport and the perceived cost and accessibility advantages of car ownership for many people.

- Behavioural attachment to cars and the idea that streets and roads are primarily for the movement and storage of vehicles, which can be challenging when seeking to reprioritise road space.

5.2. Discussing next steps

Alongside this report, the RTPI has published a short discussion paper which describes these barriers in more detail, and presents recommendations from the research team and wider stakeholders for overcoming them.

This discussion paper and methodological appendix can be downloaded at rtpi.org.uk/netzerotransport.
Figure 5.1. A simplified ‘zonal’ plan for Castlemore
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