

WE'RE HAVING A BLAST

AST





WWW.GEARSLTD.COM









F











PLANNING	BLAST	NUISANCE	CO ₂	BLAST	BLAST	
POLICY	DAMAGE	IMPACT	EMISSIONS	MONITORING	OPTIMISATION	CIA

- Most comprehensive engineering assessment of quarry blasting impact to date
- ✓ Taken over a decade to compile
- Several million pounds of major infrastructure and quarry blasting projects have facilitated development of technical understanding
- ✓ Supported by a 3+ year partnership between Tarmac and GEARS



PLANNING	BLAST	NUISANCE	CO ₂	BLAST	BLAST	
POLICY	DAMAGE	IMPACT	EMISSIONS	MONITORING	OPTIMISATION	CIA

PROJECT CONCLUSION PLANNING – WHAT ARE THE ISSUES?



For quarry blasts we are often:

Quoting the wrong damage limits

Not accurately measuring ground vibration

Not correctly measuring air overpressure

Not determining what is causing nuisance

✓ Not measuring nuisance



PROJECT CONCLUSION PLANNING - WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES?



Policy and Standards are conflicted

Not reducing complaints despite ever lowering PPV limits

 \checkmark Poor fragmentation is increasing CO₂ emissions by up to 21% (1kg/t)

Costing operators (the taxpayer) up to £2 extra per tonne

Failed to convince the public that blasting will not cause damage



PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS BLAST VAVE

We should be adopting:

Separate controls for blast damage and nuisance

Blast damage limit should be no lower than a PPV of 50mm/s*

✓ Nuisance limit should be no lower than a PPV of 12 mm/s

✓ Subject to scheme of monitoring and improvement

Ground vibration and air blast impacts should be considered

A new nuisance measure should be trialled



* Subject to assessment



New guidance to be published to ensure correct understanding and practise for:

Blast impact monitoring

Blast design practises to reduce environmental impacts

Launch an impartial informative website for the general public





PLANNING	BLAST	NUISANCE	CO ₂	BLAST	BLAST	
POLICY	DAMAGE	IMPACT	EMISSIONS	MONITORING	OPTIMISATION	CIA



GROUND VIBRATION





VIBRATION DAMAGE



EARTHQUAKE AND BLAST WAVES





VIBRATION DAMAGE

THE EMPIRICAL QUARRY BLAST DATA - USBM 656 - 1971

The USBM determined the following for a quarry blast:

- PPV of 150 mm/s can cause cosmetic damage
- No damage PPV limit 50mm/s
- No cases of damage below 50 mm/s*



FREQUENCY (Hz)





VIBRATION DAMAGE QUARRY AND MINING BLAST WAVES







VIBRATION DAMAGE



THE EMPIRICAL DATA - USBM BULLETIN RI 8507 - 1987







VIBRATION DAMAGE

THE EMPIRICAL DATA - USBM BULLETIN RI 8507 - 1987





BRITISH STANDARD DAMAGE THRESHOLDS







ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT

BLAST

THE IMPORTANCE OF NATURAL FREQUENCY





Materials with a low stiffness and so high natural frequency – Lightweight materials



Structures and materials with significant mass and so low natural frequency



LOW MASS MATERIALS



SAFE BLAST PPV LIMIT FOR QUARRY BLASTING IS 50 mm/s

Structures and materials with significant mass and so low natural frequency





BLAST DAMAGE STUDY

BLAST DAMAGE STUDY

✓ PPV up to 150 mm/s

MONITORING AT BUILDING

Structural defects

Cosmetic defects

✓ Ground vibration

✓ Structural response









BLAST DAMAGE STUD

BLAST EXPERT 1

Plaster will crack at a PPV of 5 mm/s, as proven by the British Standard

BLAST EXPERT 2

The building is going to fall down









THANKS.

VIBRATION DAMAGE TEST BLAST 03/07/23 - PPV 150 mm/s

A CRH COMPANY





GROUND VIBRATION



DAMAGE LEVEL



AIR OVERPRESSURE (AOP)

Pressure (Pa)	SPL (dbL)	Wind Speed (mph)	Beauford Scale	Impact
633	150	72	Force 12 - Hurricane	Windows start to break
200	140	40	Force 7 - Near Gale	Safe No Damage Level
63	130	23	Force 4 - Moderate Breeze	Maximum likely quarry blast air overpressure at the quarry boundary
20	120	13	Force 3 - Gentle Breeze	Typical maximum pressure outside of the quarry
6.3	110	7	Force 2 - Light Breeze	Building internal structure rattles – Perceptible
2	100	4	Force 1 - Light Air	Barely perceptible

There is no risk of a normal quarry blast causing any damage to property as the air overpressure is typically up to a Force 4 Moderate Breeze

✓ This is 10 times lower than the forced needed to cause damage





PLANNING	BLAST	NUISANCE	CO ₂	BLAST	BLAST	
POLICY	DAMAGE	IMPACT	EMISSIONS	MONITORING	OPTIMISATION	LIA



HUMAN RESPONSE

PPV IS A MAX VELOCITY - IT HAS VERY LIMITED VALUE WHEN DETERMINING NUISANCE





HUMAN RESPONSE EARTHQUAKES



Modified Mercalli Intensity	Earthquake Magnitude	Perceived Shaking	Peak Ground Velocity mm/s (Worden et al 2012)	Modified Mercalli Intensity Description
I	<3	NOT FELT	0.2	Not felt
П	3.25	WEAK	5.1	Felt only by a few people at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings
	3.75	WEAK	12	Felt quite noticeably by people indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings
IV	4.25	LIGHT	14	Felt indoors by many, outdoors by few during the day. At night, some are awakened.
V	4.75	MODERATE	47	Felt by nearly everyone, many awakened
VI	5.25	STRONG	96	Felt by all, and many are frightened



HUMAN RESPONSE USBM 8507 from 1980

/s)

PPV (mm)

In 1980 the USBM recommended an acceptable PPV nuisance level of 12mm/s for a quarry blast

This was based on a 1 second blast wave duration

The UK adopted the same nuisance PPV level





PLANNING CONTROLS NUISANCE LIMITS



PAN 50 Annex D: Controlling the Environmental Effects of Surface Mineral Workings Annex D: The Control of Blasting at Surface Mineral Workings February 2000

✓ 12 mm/s recommended limit

Average levels not below 6mm/s



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government Minerals Planning Policy (Wales) Minerals Technical Advice Note (Wales) 1: AGGREGATES

BLAS

✓ 6 mm/s recommend limit



* Limits quoted at 95%

LOW PPV LIMITS DECKING

Standard method of reducing the PPV is to deck (split up) the shot hole

Each deck basically halves the PPV

But decking will:

- \checkmark Increases the duration
- Reduce the blast waves frequency
- ✓ Reduce the fragmentation







LOW PPV LIMITS CONSEQUENCES







LOW PPV LIMITS CONSEQUENCES

Decreasing the frequency increases the displacement

Quarry blast without decking

Quarry with decking





BLAST





BLAST

AIR OVERPRESSURE (AOP)

Pressure (Pa)	SPL (dbL)	Wind Speed (mph)	Beauford Scale	Impact
633	150	72	Force 12 - Hurricane	Windows start to break
200	140	40	Force 7 - Near Gale	No Damage Level
63	130	23	Force 4 - Moderate Breeze	Maximum likely quarry blast air overpressure (in quarry) and likely complaint if frequency is moderate (>3 Hz)
20	120	13	Force 3 - Gentle Breeze	Typical maximum pressure outside of the quarry boundary & likely complaint if the frequency is high (>4 Hz)
6.3	110	7	Force 2 - Light Breeze	Building internal structure rattles – Perceptible
2	100	4	Force 1 - Light Air	Barely perceptible

Complaint threshold is dependent on the climatic conditions and the building's natural frequency





GROUND VIBRATION VERSUS AIR OVERPRESSURE WAVE







GROUND VIBRATION VERSUS AIR OVERPRESSURE WAVE



EXAMPLE PROPERTY – NOTE ASSESSMENT IS PROPERTY SPECIFIC



GROUND VIBRATION VERSUS AIR OVERPRESSURE WAVE



AIR OVERPRESSURE CONTROLS

✓ INCREASE THE AIR OVERPRESSURE

GROUND VIBRATION CONTROLS





NUISANCE IMPACT - AOP



STEMMING PLUGS





Average Pressure readings (kPa) for two pressure meter stations. Stations recorded pre-and post Varistem® Blasts







PLANNINGBLASTNUISANCECO2BLASTBLASTEIAPOLICYDAMAGEIMPACTEMISSIONSMONITORINGOPTIMISATIONEIA



GEARS UND ENGINEERING APPLIED RESEARCH SERVICES BLAST DOWN CO₂



The Emerald Challenge WINNER of Quarrving 2023

CO₂ INEFFICIENCIES 77,680t CO₂

FROM UK HARDROCK QUARRIES PER ANNUM









BLAST DOWN CO₂



BLAST DESIGN

Vibration control, vibration prediction, secondary breakage, density, load & haul

42,724t CO₂



FROM UK HARDROCK QUARRIES PER ANNUM

The Institute of Quarrying The Emerald Challenge WINNER 2023

MAP

CO2 EMISSIONS IMPACT OF PPV CONTROL ON CO2 EMISSIONS

FOR THE EXTREME CASE:

- Due to poor fragmentation CO₂ emissions can increase by up to 21% (1Kg/t)
- When full M2M costs are accounted for this is costing the operator up to an extra £2/t



BLA

BLAST MONITORING



STANDARD PRACTICE FOR BLASTING MONITORING IS RESULTING IN:

- ✓ 25% overestimation of PPV
- ✓ 70% overestimation of air overpressure
- ✓ Which is causing around a 50% prediction error









PLANNING	BLAST	NUISANCE	CO ₂	BLAST	BLAST	
POLICY	DAMAGE	IMPACT	EMISSIONS	MONITORING	OPTIMISATION	EIA

- ✓ Safe damage PPV limit = 50 mm/s
- Nuisance PPV limit = 12 mm/s (but trial a new measure)
- Scheme of monitoring and improvement (Air Blast)
- ✓ New guidance



✓ Informative website



WE'RE HAVING A BLAST

AST





WWW.GEARSLTD.COM









F



